






PSCIOC FORWARD AGENDA

| | FEBRUARY 28, 2019 IN-PERSON MEETING | APRIL 16, 2019 TELECONFERENCE | JUNE 10, 2019 TELECONFERENCE | AUGUST 8, 2019 |
|--|---|--|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| SECRETARIAT  | Action Items– progress report JC Strategic Policy Analyst: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Should PSCIOC have more discussions on digital identity (strategic and technical) for better alignment with Joint Councils priorities?• How can the PSCIOC and its working groups support the overall priorities of the Joint Councils related to Digital Identity, Digital Strategy and Client Centric Services? <i>(refer to JC Logic Model)</i>• How can the PSCIOC assist in the acceleration of the Digital Identity priority? | Action Items– progress report | Action Items– progress report | Action Items– progress report |
| CLOUD SERVICES  | MICROSOFT <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Conversation focused on value for our investments, communicating better all the features and capabilities of the products that Microsoft offers.• To understand company and product directions that jurisdictions need to know about and be taking into consideration in the future.• Discuss how to build a collaborative pan-Canadian strategic relationship between PSCIOC and Microsoft. | Cloud Working Group: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Progress report on 3-5 areas of focus for this group• Approval of Terms of Reference and work plan• Discussion on conducting Cloud Benefits Realization Survey | | |
| INFORMATION PROTECTION/ MGT  | National CIO Sub-Committee on Information Protection (NCSIP): <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Updated Cyber Security Matrix Overview/ Discussion• Review of position papers on how the government can assist in encouraging schools to include cyber security as part of their curriculum• Working with Canadian Centre for Cyber Security/ Discussion on Supply Chain Integrity | Information Management Sub-Committee <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Discussion on potential areas of work before considering recommendation from the Framework Working Group to move group to a community of practice. <i>(action item Feb 2018).</i> <p><i>The IM Sub-Committee has not met for some time. The current working group Chair from TBS advised that she was no longer able to chair group however, no committee member identified as the new chair. PSCIOC members need to discuss the future lead and role for this group at an upcoming teleconference.</i></p> National CIO Sub-Committee on Information Protection (NCSIP): <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Approval of Terms of Reference and work plan | | |

| | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|
| ICT POLICY WG | | ICT Policy Working Group <ul style="list-style-type: none">Follow up on action item related to discussion on ICT's ToR (decision to move to a CoP or remain as a WG) | | |
| IT PROCUREMENT WG  | Discussion on Joint Procurement: <ul style="list-style-type: none">Review of Shared Services Canada next steps document on what is available around digital procurement and the impact on provinces/territoriesInformation on GoC latest negotiations with MicrosoftUpdate on availability of procurement contracts to the jurisdictions (<i>related to Orders in Council</i>)Roadmap for refreshing procurement vehicles so all jurisdictions can leverage themDiscussion on creating standing offer for Joint Procurement | IT Procurement Working Group <ul style="list-style-type: none">Confirm Terms of Reference and proposed work plan (<i>action item, Feb 2018</i>) | | |
| TOPICS OF INTEREST  | Topic for discussion: <u>Information-Sharing Roundtable</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Jurisdictions to identify their key priorities, initiatives and challenges facing their jurisdiction using their information sharing report as basis for discussion | Proposed Topics for discussion – TBC by Co-Chairs <ul style="list-style-type: none">Kathryn Bulko (MISA Canada) to provide feedback on the digital principles as per the review underway by MISA of the current TBS digital principles and those of other countries (Australia, UK, USA, New Zealand, Belgium, Sweden, Netherlands). (<i>action item</i>)Potential topic for discussion: Lessons learned on the Phoenix pay system (<i>action item</i>) <p><i>OR/ Items below</i></p> | Proposed Topics for discussion – TBC by Co-Chairs <ul style="list-style-type: none">The Trust Traveler Project pilot to be included in an upcoming teleconference/meeting agenda. MISA to lead this item. (<i>action item</i>) | Proposed Topics for discussion – TBC by Co-Chairs <ul style="list-style-type: none">Discussion on Smart Cities led by PSCIOC municipal members (MISA). ISED to be invited to this conversation as they gather information on best practices on smart cities. (<i>action item</i>) |

Topics of interest that have been identified by members for inclusion in teleconference/meeting agendas:

- Business Intelligence, Analytics, and Identity. Where we are on delivering identity services.
- Cyber security and its implications
- Digital Government Initiative / Transformation (feedback on journey)
- Emerging technologies: Blockchain, Artificial Intelligence (ethical AI), Machine learning, how can we learn together?
- Top 10 trends in IT & Digital (what we are all doing in each of these areas)
- Are you embracing Design Thinking and Human Centered Design - (CIO and CIO staff roles in Design Thinking and Human Centered Design
- **Identity/Authentication service to protect and enable citizens (and businesses) in wider digital world**
 - Some people trust government to be a 'good actor' in protecting identity, others fear big brother or worry that government is inefficient
 - Private Industry presents lots of cautions around the use of personal information with the profit motive in mind
 - The Joint Councils has done some excellent work on interoperability standards
 - Citizens find it increasingly hard to navigate the various services they access online, safely manage their login credentials and manage their digital identity for non-governmental needs

- Should we be considering an approach, possibly with Blockchain, in which a trusted ‘utility’ or other 3rd party actor (separate from government and private industry) can offer Identity and authentication as a service utilizing the identity information resident within governments? Perhaps a framework that guarantees independence from government (big brother) and creates a 3rd party audit/oversight to ensure compliance.
- **Information & Privacy Commissioner role with security issues**
 - There is a growing interest from IPC(s) to include a security audit/review as an expanded means of making recommendations or findings around privacy issues
 - Privacy is the what/why, Security is the how and is based on a business value decision of risk
 - Independent audits or reviews of security vulnerabilities are a valued mechanism to make these risk/value decisions, the IPC is a public exposure mechanism that can somewhat circumvent the risk/value decision.